



## Meeting Summary

---

### Steering Committee Meeting 4 | Redstone Park Improvements – April 14, 2021

**Steering Committee Members Present:** Ron Phaneuf, Pete Menardi, Nancy Chromy, Jake Marine, Maciej Mrotek (Caucus Rep) **DHM Consultants:** Allie Newman, Jason Janes. **Open Space and Trails Staff:** Jessie Young, Carly Klein

The fourth steering committee meeting took place April 14, 2021 at 5:30 pm via Zoom. The objective was to review the public comments, give direction on design of the gazebo and address any outstanding questions and/or feedback. This guidance will steer the construction documentation effort, building on the committee's previous recommendations.

#### Link to Meeting Materials Packet:

[https://www.pitkinostprojects.com/uploads/1/3/1/1/13115897/april\\_14\\_2021\\_meeting\\_material.pdf](https://www.pitkinostprojects.com/uploads/1/3/1/1/13115897/april_14_2021_meeting_material.pdf)

**Presentation:** OST Staff reviewed the main themes/takeaways from the public comments received and DHM then presented the gazebo design options. DHM reviewed details on which they want committee input in order to move forward with the construction documents for the park. The group also reviewed the HPC guidelines and character/design elements of existing Redstone buildings (Page 12 of meeting materials packet), with some key takeaways being: new construction should not try to mimic historic structures, and ancillary structures are typically more basic than some of the details on the more prominent buildings. The design team is proposing a semi-custom/prefabricated structure, which has affordability benefits both in the design of the structure and in its construction. The team sought feedback on some of the elements that can be customized, including the level of detail/style; floor condition and overall character of the structure. Any structure will have an asphalt as opposed to a wood-shingle roof due to the code requirements and the longevity needed – can be brown or darker in color. One of the options presented was an octagon, however, the others are all hexagon which is thought to better accommodate the multiple functions of the shelter – most notably the concert viewing.

*\* Note when viewing options on page 14, these are shown at different sizes due to image availability and will look slightly different when sized to the recommended 16x16 footprint and placed on a 1-foot/two-step platform.*

#### Discussion/Findings:

1. Gazebo: Committee direction on the aesthetics, dimensions and materials
  - General Character / Aesthetics:
    - Support having something that complements Elk Park's depot structure, but with some additional details/a bit lighter feel.
    - Those present preferred a combination of B and E (Page 14 of meeting materials packet)
      - Like the lower maintenance/similarity to the depot of B and that without the railing, it feels more open and welcoming.

# DHM DESIGN

- Like the detailing/ornate features in E, such as a simple copula – maybe slightly bigger than that shown in the example on E. Like that the beams are a bit thinner/lighter – could be better for concert use.
- Floor Condition/Material Choice:
  - Reminder, platform will be elevated and bordered with stone similar to steps of the depot, but character underfoot important
  - Concrete not preferred – and no railings. Colored or stamped concrete bound with decorative stone could be acceptable if the maintenance benefits necessitate this material.
  - Crusher fines not preferred – harder to clean up – not great surface for sitting on.
  - Wood floor preferred if possible, something like the Depot floor (IPE) – tight-grained wood would work well and last over time if covered from the elements. Can be better acoustically
- Additional gazebo considerations
  - Electricity for events – 50 amp
  - Lights – no permanent lighting was a quality the SC supported for the park. Ice rink has lighting on a timer; similar lighting would be good for the gazebo. Should not be motion activated. Should be festive.

Alternative B  
Western Timber Frame



### Character Description

This gazebo is a more rustic option with a strong frame and large scale timber components. This option most closely resembles the existing structure at Elk Park. The subtle details near the roof line add some ornamentation which is in line with Redstone's Historic Guidelines

Shape: Hexagonal

Roof Height: 12' 4"

Design features: Decorative Bracing

Maintenance: \$

Alternative E  
Natural Structures



### Character Description

This ornamental structure would be a destination for those that visit the park. The gazebo features more elaborate design starting from the top with the cupola and moving down to the details that boarder the roof.

Shape: Hexagonal

Roof Height: 13'

Design features: Cupola, Decorative Boarder

Maintenance: \$\$\$

## 2. Additional comments

- Basketball court came up again in public comments
  - If not in Redstone Park would it be possible to put one in at Elk Park?
    - Likely not near-term consideration in Elk Park
  - Ice rink area will be improved and will support other activities/lawn games

# DHM DESIGN

- OST standard split-rail fencing will be reduced compared to what is existing. Will be kept around the playground, possibly extending between the restroom and the primary entrance to funnel traffic to the stairs rather than across the vegetation.
  - Recently has been getting hit by snowplows
- Drainage off roof of the shed – with melting the shed had 1” of water on north – more gravel could be added to the floor inside and out.
  - Could it be placed on a slab?
    - Not likely that the current structure would withstand being lifted and placed on a slab, but can add a slab at the end of the shed’s useful life when it is in need of replacement.
  - Grading improvements could also move water away from shed
- Dog wag bags – placed strategically at park entrances.
- Need to extend power to the bridge for holiday lighting.

## **Next Steps:**

The intent is to keep momentum going on this project and to finalize construction documents based on the draft plan that went out for public feedback with the additional input from the committee on the gazebo. DHM will develop bid-ready construction documents through the end of May and OST will move forward with seeking county approvals with a Location and Extent application and the required public notice. Depending on what happens with construction pricing and contractor availability, we are hoping for construction in 2022.